

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,842 10/31/2003		Ovidiu Marin	Serie 6388 9308	
75	90 10/11/2006		EXAM	IINER
Air Liquide			CASAREGO	LA, LOUIS J
Ste 1800 2700 Post Oak	Plud		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston TY 77056			3746	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_
11	.1

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/698,842	MARIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Louis J. Casaregola	3746		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Louis J. Casaregola	3746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>9/27/06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	THE REPLY FILED 9/27/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of		a final rejection, which are	ovio lotovi lo no				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened strabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	a filed within two mon	the of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• ••						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ———	Alon de Cladence de					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•	-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4-37</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	1° ~ ~	4				
10. [] Julier		L. L. Cosang	da				
		Louis J. Casaregol Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3746	а				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed amendment significantly changes scope of claim 1 and requires further search as well as posisible modification of grounds of rejection. Proposed amendment will therefore not be entered unless filed in conjunction with an RCE.